

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,790	09/17/2003	Hisashi Tsukamoto	. , Q137-US8	9005
31815 7590 01/17/2007 MARY ELIZABETH BUSH QUALLION LLC P.O. BOX 923127 SYLMAR, CA 91392-3127			EXAMINER YUAN, DAH WEI D	
			,	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/666,790	TSUKAMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dah-Wei D. Yuan	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 L</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	
·		
Disposition of Claims		
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 55 and 66-88 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 55 and 66-88 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a 	awn from consideration.	•
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :09172003,03012004,05112004,07092004.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,790 Page 1 of 4

Art Unit: 1745

ELECTRIC STORAGE BATTERY CONSTRUCTION AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE

Examiner: Yuan S.N. 10/666,790 Art Unit: 1745 January 8, 2007

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I-5, claim 55, in Paper filed December 20, 2006 is acknowledged. Claims 1-54,56-65 were canceled. Claims 66-88 were added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 55,66-71,85,87,88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gartstein et al. (US 2002/0001745 A1).

With respect to claim 55, Gartstein et al. teach an electrical storage battery comprising an case (14) sealed by a first end cap (16) and a second end cap (22), wherein neither the case, the first end cap nor the second end cap has fill hole as shown in Figure 3. The battery further comprises an electrically conductive terminal pin (26) extending through the first end cap, which is electrically insulated from the case. The cathode (32) of the electrode assembly is in electrical communication with the pin, whereas the anode (34) of the electrode assembly is in electrical

communication with second end cap via a conductive bottom plate (19) (flexible conductive tab).

See paragraphs 55-59,62.

With respect to claims 66,68, Gartstein et al. the conductive bottom plate extends from a location adjacent to the case to a second location wherein the plate is electrically connected to the second end cap (22). See Figure 3.

With respect to claim 67, Gartstein et al. teach welds (contacts between the anode and the conductive bottom plate) connect the plate to the second end cap. See Figure 3.

With respect to claim 69, Gartstein et al. teach the second end cap is connected to the plate for a distance that is not longer than the radius shown in the second end cap. See Figure 3.

With respect to claims 70,71, Gartstein et al. teach the electrode assembly has a spirally wound jelly roll structure, which include at least one separator separating the electrodes. See Paragraph 57.

With respect to claim 85, Gartstein et al. teach at least one weld connects the cathode to the pin. See Figure 3.

With respect to claim 87, Gartstein et al. teach the terminal pin is hermetically sealed to the insulting washer or seal (24), which insulates the first end cap. See Paragraph 55.

With respect to claim 88, Gartstein et al. teach the case is electrically conductive. See Paragraph 62.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,790 Page 3 of 4

Art Unit: 1745

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 55,66-88 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 43-45,66-86 of copending Application No. 10/665,687. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the conflicting claims in the examined application claim fall entirely within the scope of the copending 10/665,687 application.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,790 Page 4 of 4

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dah-Wei D. Yuan January 8, 2007

> DAH-WELYUAN PRIMARY EXAMINER